

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/720,286                                | 11/25/2003     | Kazuo Shiota         | 2091-0301P          | 4768            |
| 2292 7                                    | 590 10/14/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
|   | WART KOLASCH & | WALLERSON, MARK E    |                     |                 |
| PO BOX 747<br>FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                |                      | 2626                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| All I   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/720,286   | SHIOTA ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Mark E. Wallerson  | 2626   |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication apper<br>r Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repreperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | <u></u> .  | •  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  | 4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| -   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea  | ts have been received.<br>ts have been received in Application<br>ority documents have been receive<br>nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
|   | See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | t of the certified copies not receive  | a.   |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   |   | A) T Intonious Cumrean   | (PTO.413)  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform  | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/720,286

Art Unit: 2626

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

## Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-20 are pending.

#### **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement dated <u>11/25/2003</u> have been considered by the Examiner and is attached to this Office Action.

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

Application/Control Number: 10/720,286

Art Unit: 2626

harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-16 of U. S. Patent No. 6,683,700 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: inputting image data; storing the digital image data, and a pixel number converting means for performing pixel number conversion on the digital image data while maintaining a constant aspect ratio of the picture image so that the vertical or horizontal length of the picture image represented by the digital image data substantially coincides with the predetermined width of the picture image.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,286

Art Unit: 2626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

MARK WALLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINE